

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/636,038	08/06/2003	Gurtej S. Sandhu	MI22-2194	9972		
21567	7590 10/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
WELLS ST. JOHN P.S. 601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300			FULLER, ERIC B			
SPOKANE,	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ŕ	•		1762			
				DATE MAN ED 10/04/2007		

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				W				
•		olication No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/	636,038	SANDHU, GURTEJ S.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		B. Fuller	1762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
_								
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2005.							
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 								
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19-27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 28-43</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)		. •						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	٠	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/636,038

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 14, 2005 have been found convincing. The rejections have the previous Office Action have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 and 28-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art Brabant et al. (US 2003/0036268).

16013106

The applicant admits that ALD processes are known in forming films of hafnium dioxide or aluminum oxide, wherein the first reactant is a metal halide and the second reactant is oxygen or ozone. It is also explicitly taught that it is known that undesirable substrate oxides result when chemisoption of the first reactant is incomplete (page 4, lines 1-10). What is taught to be unknown is removing undesirable substrate oxides by flowing gaseous HF into the chamber. However, Brabant teaches that removing substrate oxides by flowing HF over the substrate increases purity in an ALD process [0011] and [0028]. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the HF flow as a step in at

Art Unit: 1762

least some of the ALD cycles. By doing so, one would reap the benefits of removing the undesirable substrate oxides that form during the oxidation step.

As to the dependent claims, non-uniform chemisorption over a silicon substate would inherently result in silicon dioxide being the surface oxide. The "at least some of the ALD cycles" reads on not using it some cycles. Claims 16-18 claim inherent properties of the first reactant.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric B. Fuller whose telephone number is (571) 272-1420. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Meeks, can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1762

TIMOTHY MEEKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER